

Stephanie Kost

From: Carla Flemings [mailto:CarlaFlemings@applythewordmin.org]
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carla Flemings
Evangelist
Apply the Word Ministries
P.O. Box 841
Pasadena, MD 21122

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Carla Flemings
410-439-3200
Evangelist
Apply the Word Ministries

Stephanie Kost

From: Brad Mersereau [bjmerser@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 11:57 AM
To: mn03@mail.house.gov
Cc: Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: pay per channel or "a la carte" pricing

I implore you Congressman Ramstad to vote NO regarding the pay per channel" or "a la carte" pricing legislation. I, as do many others, see this as another attack on religious freedom and a violation of First Amendment rights in this country. Please stand with the ACLJ and other religious leaders and vote NO to the pay per channel" or "a la carte" pricing legislation.

I understand that this legislation may be well intentioned, to protect children from unwanted adult programming but the implications to religious programming are not worth the price. I believe that the market place should dictate to the cable companies this needed change. Don't throw the baby out with the bath water. Vote NO!

Thank you for your time. A long time supporter.
Sincerely,

Brad Mersereau

Mersereau's Virtual Office Services

Certified Microsoft Office Specialist 2000/2002

763-425-7490

thevoa2003@yahoo.com

Do you Yahoo!?
Y! Messenger - Communicate in real time. Download now.

Stephanie Kost

From: Brenda J Baker [fbaker1@cfl.rr.com]
Content: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:24 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda J Baker
107 Sunnyside Dr
Clermont, FL 34711

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Brenda J Baker
352-243-7305

Stephanie Kost

From: Brenda J Baker [fbaker1@cf.rr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 1:11 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda J Baker
107 Sunnyside Dr
Clermont, FL 34711

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Brenda J Baker
352-243-7305

Stephanie Kost

From: Brenda J Baker [fbaker1@ofl.net.com]
To: Jonathan S Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda J Baker
107 Sunnyside Dr
Clermont, FL 34711

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Brenda J Baker
352-243-7305

Stephanie Kost

From: Brenda J Baker [fbaker1@cfl.rr.com]
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda J Baker
107 Sunnyside Dr
Clermont, FL 34711

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Brenda J Baker
352-243-7305

Stephanie Kost

From: Brenda Mitchell [BmMtc3@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 1:15 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda Mitchell
302 S.E. Winburn Trail
Lee's Summit, Mo. 64063

October 14, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Brenda Mitchell

Stephanie Kost

From: Brenda Mitchell [BmM3@aol.com]
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda Mitchell
302 S.E. Winburn Trail
Lee's Summit, Mo. 64063

October 14, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Brenda Mitchell

Stephanie Kost

From: Brenda Mitchell [BmMtc3@aol.com]
Date: Tuesday, October 11, 2004 10:10 AM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda Mitchell
302 S.E. Winburn Trail
Lee's Summit, Mo. 64063

October 14, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Brenda Mitchell

Stephanie Kost

From: Brenda Mitchell [BmMtc3@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 10:19 AM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda Mitchell
302 S.E. Winburn Trail
Lee's Summit, Mo. 64063

October 14, 2004

Kevin J Martin
,

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Brenda Mitchell

Stephanie Kost

From: Brenda Mitchell [BmMtc3@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 10:12 AM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Brenda Mitchell
302 S.E. Winburn Trail
Lee's Summit, Mo. 64063

October 14, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Brenda Mitchell

Stephanie Kost

From: brenda snow [redheadkat1964@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2004 11:13 AM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

brenda snow
12476 stage coach rd.
gravette, arkansas 72736

October 17, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

brenda kay snow
479-787-6930

Stephanie Kost

From: brenda snow [redhoodkat1964@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2004 11:13 AM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

brenda snow
12476 stage coach rd.
gravette, arkansas 72736

October 17, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

brenda kay snow
479-787-6930

Stephanie Kost

From: brenda snow [redheadkat1964@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2004 11:13 AM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

brenda snow
12476 stage coach rd.
gravette, arkansas 72736

October 17, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

brenda kay snow
479-787-6930

Stephanie Kost

From: brenda snow [redheadkat1964@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2004 11:13 AM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

brenda snow
12476 stage coach rd.
gravette, arkansas 72736

October 17, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

brenda kay snow
479-787-6930

Stephanie Kost

From: Brittney Pugh [Healingbabylon@aol.com]
To: KAQuinn
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Brittney Pugh
3348 Mimosa Drive
Columbia, TN 38401

October 20, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

God bless you and your family.

Respectfully

Brittney Dyan Pugh
(931) 381-9254

Stephanie Kost

From: Brittney Pugh [Healingbabylon@aol.com]
To: Michael Powell
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Brittney Pugh
3348 Mimosa Drive
Columbia, TN 38401

October 20, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

God bless you and your family.

Respectfully

Brittney Dyan Pugh
(931) 381-9254

Stephanie Kost

From: Brittney Pugh [Healingbabylon@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2004 10:07 AM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Brittney Pugh
3348 Mimosa Drive
Columbia, TN 38401

October 20, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

God bless you and your family.

Respectfully

Brittney Dyan Pugh
(931) 381-9254

Stephanie Kost

From: Bryan Hare [bhreh@towers.net]
To: KAQuinn
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Bryan Hare
PO Box 1452
Conway, Ar 72032

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Bryan Hare

Stephanie Kost

From: Bryan Hare [bryhare@twoworks.net]
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Bryan Hare
PO Box 1452
Conway, Ar 72032

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Bryan Hare

Stephanie Kost

From: Bryan Hare [bryhare@tcworks.net]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 8:40 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Bryan Hare
PO Box 1452
Conway, Ar 72032

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Bryan Hare

Stephanie Kost

From: Bryan Hare [bryhare@toworks.net]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 8:10 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Bryan Hare
PO Box 1452
Conway, Ar 72032

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Bryan Hare

Stephanie Kost

From: Bryan Hare [bryhare@tworocks.net]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 9:15 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Bryan Hare
PO Box 1452
Conway, Ar 72032

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps
,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Bryan Hare

Stephanie Kost

From: Bryan Hare [bryhn@steworks.net]
To: Michael Powell
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Bryan Hare
PO Box 1452
Conway, Ar 72032

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Bryan Hare

Stephanie Kost

From: Candice Katayama [CKATAYAMA126@HOTMAIL.COM]
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Candice Katayama
377 South Teri Lane
Orange, CA 92869

October 14, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Candice Katayama
949/278-6500

Stephanie Kost

From: Candice Katayama <CANDICE.KATAYAMA428@HOTMAIL.COM>
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Candice Katayama
377 South Teri Lane
Orange, CA 92869

October 14, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Candice Katayama
949/278-6500

Stephanie Kost

From:

Candice Katayama [CKATAYAMA123@HOTMAIL.COM]

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Candice Katayama
377 South Teri Lane
Orange, CA 92869

October 14, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Candice Katayama
949/278-6500

Stephanie Kost

To: Candice Katayama [CKATAYAMA436@HOTMAIL.COM]
Cc: Michael Powell
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Candice Katayama
377 South Teri Lane
Orange, CA 92869

October 14, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Candice Katayama
949/278-6500

Stephanie Kost

From: Bonnie Lelak [blelak@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 1:37 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Bonnie Lelak
247 Arbor Hill Rd
Canton, GA 30115

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Lelak

Stephanie Kost

From: Bonnie Lelak [blelak@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 1:38 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Bonnie Lelak
247 Arbor Hill Rd
Canton, GA 30115

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps
,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Lelak